

Measurement

Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives

Volume 10, Numbers 1-4, 2012

Volume 10, Numbers 1-2, 2012

FOCUS ARTICLE

Clarifying the Consensus Definition of Validity 1
Paul E. Newton

COMMENTARIES

Consequences That Cannot Be Avoided: A Response to Paul Newton 30
Randy Elliot Bennett

EPMA Professionals—Servants or Masters? 33
Paul Black

Whose Consensus Is It Anyway? Scientific Versus Legalistic Conceptions of Validity 38
Denny Borsboom

“Measurement” and “Construct” Need to Be Clarified First. Commentary on Newton, P. E. “Clarifying the Consensus Definition of Validity” 42
Tom Bramley

Conceptions of Validity: The Private and the Public 46
Henry Braun

Why the Item “23 +1” Is Not in a Depression Questionnaire: Validity From a Network Perspective 50
Angélique O. J. Cramer

Epistemic Iterations and Consensus Definitions of Validity 55
George Engelhard, Jr. and Nadia Behizadeh

From Construct Validity to Theory Validation 59
Brian D. Haig

In Defense of an Instrument-Based Approach to Validity 63
S. Brian Hood

All Validity Is Construct Validity. Or Is It? 66
Michael Kane

Consequences of Assessment and Accountability Systems Are Integral to the Argument-Based Approach to Validity 71
Suzanne Lane

Validity is an Action Verb: Commentary on: "Clarifying the Consensus Definition of Validity"	75
<i>Robert W. Lissitz and Tiago Caliço</i>	
Validity and Measurement	80
<i>Michael D. Maraun</i>	
Constructs and Attributes in Test Validity: Reflections on Newton's Account	84
<i>Keith A. Markus</i>	
Promoting Rigorous Validation Practice: An Applied Perspective	88
<i>Krista D. Mattern, Jennifer L. Kobrin, and Wayne J. Camara</i>	
The Case for Informal Argument	93
<i>Robert J. Mislevy</i>	
Validity for What? The Peril of Overclarifying	97
<i>Kevin R. Murphy</i>	
Validity Cannot Be Created, It Can Only Be Lost	100
<i>Alastair Pollitt</i>	
The Consensus Definition Redefined From a Representational Perspective	104
<i>Annemarie Zand Scholten</i>	
REJOINDER	
Questioning the Consensus Definition of Validity	110
<i>Paul E. Newton</i>	

Volume 10, Number 3, 2012

FOCUS ARTICLE

Measuring Scholarly Impact Using Modern Citation-Based Indices	123
<i>John Ruscio, Florence Seaman, Carianne D'Oriano, Elena Stremlo, and Krista Mahalchik</i>	

COMMENTARIES

Not All Authorships Are Created Equal	147
<i>Peter Borkenau</i>	
Redundancies in <i>H</i> Index Variants and the Proposal of the Number of Top-Cited Papers as an Attractive Indicator	149
<i>Lutz Bornmann</i>	

Metrics of Scholarly Impact	154
<i>John T. Cacioppo and Stephanie Cacioppo</i>	
Is Hirsch's <i>H</i> the Best Predictor of the Number of a Researcher's Extremely Highly Cited Articles?	157
<i>Kit W. Cho and James H. Neely</i>	
Impact, <i>H</i>, and Authorship	161
<i>Nick Haslam</i>	
Commentary on Ruscio et al.: "Measuring Scholarly Impact Using Modern Citation-Based Indices"	164
<i>John Panaretos and Chrisovaladis C. Malesios</i>	
Measuring What?	167
<i>Theodore M. Porter</i>	
Citation Measures as Criterion Variables in Predicting Scientific Eminence	170
<i>Dean Keith Simonton</i>	
Some Limitations of the <i>H</i> Index: A Commentary on Ruscio and Colleagues' Analysis of Bibliometric Indices	172
<i>Ludo Waltman, Rodrigo Costas, and Nees Jan van Eck</i>	
COMMENTARY ON BLACK ET AL.'S "ROAD MAPS FOR LEARNING: A GUIDE TO THE NAVIGATION OF LEARNING PROGRESSIONS" FROM ISSUE 9(2-3)	
A Response From Languages	176
<i>Tim McNamara and Kathryn Hill</i>	

Volume 10, Number 4, 2012

FOCUS ARTICLE

Factorial Versus Typological Models: A Comparison of Methods for Personality Data	
<i>Matthias von Davier, Bobby Naemi, and Richard D. Roberts</i>	185

COMMENTARIES

When Does the Factor-Mixture Distinction Matter?	209
<i>Eric Loken</i>	
Old Issues in a New Jacket: Power and Validation in the Context of Mixture Modeling	212
<i>Gitta Lubke</i>	

Building Coherent Validation Arguments for the Measurement of Latent Constructs With Unified Statistical Frameworks	217
<i>André A. Rupp</i>	
Commentary on Factorial Versus Typological Models: Complementary Evidence in the Model Selection Process	222
<i>Karen Samuelsen</i>	

